

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,813	05/27/2005	Sherif Makram-Ebeid	FR 020124	6642
24737 7590 1205/2008 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER	
			BITAR, NANCY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s) MAKRAM-EBEID, SHERIF				
	10/536,813					
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NANCY BITAR	2624				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A FILED 44/40/2009 FALLS TO DEACE THIS ADDITION IN CONDITION FOR ALL OWANCE

	THE ET TIELD TO TAKE TO TENDE THIS AIT EIGHTION IN CONDITION TO CALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request

for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), roany extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.373 or CFR 41.376.

## AMENDMENTS

- ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

   (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
   (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
   (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for speak: and/or
- (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
   5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_.
- Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
   Mey proposed of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⋈ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
- r. ≥ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ≥ will not be entered, or b) ⊨ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 6-10.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.11-13.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant has amended claims 1,3.4,7.8,10,11, and 13. It is true that examiner indicated claims 6-10 are allowed but applicant changed the dependency of the claims 6-8 thus changing the scope of the claims and also added allowed limitation of claim 9 into claim 1 but did not include all the limitation of claim 9 instead applicant added the phrase "at least one" broadening the scope of the claim which would require further search and consideration.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

/Jingge Wu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Nancy Bitar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624